

Scientific Review Administrators

A1. Since 1999, have any of the grant applications in any of your study sections been modular?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	209	100.0	100.0	100.0

A1b. Approximately what percentage of these grants have been modular?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	1	.5	.5	.5
2	1	.5	.5	1.0
5	10	4.8	4.8	5.7
10	4	1.9	1.9	7.7
15	7	3.3	3.3	11.0
20	14	6.7	6.7	17.7
25	6	2.9	2.9	20.6
30	9	4.3	4.3	24.9
33	2	1.0	1.0	25.8
35	1	.5	.5	26.3
40	6	2.9	2.9	29.2
50	26	12.4	12.4	41.6
55	1	.5	.5	42.1
60	5	2.4	2.4	44.5
65	3	1.4	1.4	45.9
70	9	4.3	4.3	50.2
75	11	5.3	5.3	55.5
80	18	8.6	8.6	64.1
85	5	2.4	2.4	66.5
90	37	17.7	17.7	84.2
94	1	.5	.5	84.7
95	24	11.5	11.5	96.2
98	6	2.9	2.9	99.0
99	2	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	209	100.0	100.0	

A1b Collapsed - Percent grants modular

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Less than 50%	61	29.2	29.2	29.2
50% to 75%	55	26.3	26.3	55.5
76% to 90%	60	28.7	28.7	84.2
More than 90%	33	15.8	15.8	100.0
Total	209	100.0	100.0	

Scientific Review Administrators

Statistics

A1b. Approximately what percentage of these grants have been modular?

N	Valid	209
	Missing	0
Median		70.00

A2. Have any of the grant applications in any of your study sections been nonmodular?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	206	98.6	98.6	98.6
	No	3	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	209	100.0	100.0	

A3a. Years as an SRA

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	.5	.5	.5
	1	39	18.7	18.7	19.1
	2	23	11.0	11.0	30.1
	3	27	12.9	12.9	43.1
	4	21	10.0	10.0	53.1
	5	13	6.2	6.2	59.3
	6	12	5.7	5.7	65.1
	7	3	1.4	1.4	66.5
	8	8	3.8	3.8	70.3
	9	2	1.0	1.0	71.3
	10	4	1.9	1.9	73.2
	11	4	1.9	1.9	75.1
	12	4	1.9	1.9	77.0
	13	3	1.4	1.4	78.5
	14	13	6.2	6.2	84.7
	15	9	4.3	4.3	89.0
	16	4	1.9	1.9	90.9
	17	1	.5	.5	91.4
	18	1	.5	.5	91.9
	19	3	1.4	1.4	93.3
20	6	2.9	2.9	96.2	
21	1	.5	.5	96.7	
24	2	1.0	1.0	97.6	
25	1	.5	.5	98.1	
26	1	.5	.5	98.6	
27	2	1.0	1.0	99.5	
29	1	.5	.5	100.0	
Total		209	100.0	100.0	

Scientific Review Administrators

A3 Collapsed - Years as SRA

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid One year or less	40	19.1	19.1	19.1
2 to 3 years	50	23.9	23.9	43.1
4 to 5 years	34	16.3	16.3	59.3
6 to 10 years	29	13.9	13.9	73.2
More than 10 years	56	26.8	26.8	100.0
Total	209	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

A3a. Years as an SRA

N	Valid	209
	Missing	0
Median		4.00

A4. Type of SRA

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid CSR SRA	128	61.2	61.2	61.2
Non-CSR SRA	81	38.8	38.8	100.0
Total	209	100.0	100.0	

A5. Served on a modular grants implementation committee or workgroup

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	14	6.7	6.7	6.7
No	195	93.3	93.3	100.0
Total	209	100.0	100.0	

A6a. Been a PI

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	130	62.2	62.5	62.5
No	78	37.3	37.5	100.0
Total	208	99.5	100.0	
Missing System	1	.5		
Total	209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

A6b. Received an R01 award

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	54	25.8	41.5	41.5
	No	76	36.4	58.5	100.0
	Total	130	62.2	100.0	
Missing	System	79	37.8		
Total		209	100.0		

A7. Been a peer reviewer for NIH

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	72	34.4	34.8	34.8
	No	135	64.6	65.2	100.0
	Total	207	99.0	100.0	
Missing	System	2	1.0		
Total		209	100.0		

A8. Which NIH Institute/Center (IC) do you work for?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	CSR	126	60.3	60.3	60.3
	NCI	7	3.3	3.3	63.6
	NEI	4	1.9	1.9	65.6
	NHLBI	10	4.8	4.8	70.3
	NIA	5	2.4	2.4	72.7
	NIAAA	4	1.9	1.9	74.6
	NIAID	12	5.7	5.7	80.4
	NIAMS	2	1.0	1.0	81.3
	NIBIB	1	.5	.5	81.8
	NICHD	5	2.4	2.4	84.2
	NIDCD	3	1.4	1.4	85.6
	NIDCR	2	1.0	1.0	86.6
	NIDDK	5	2.4	2.4	89.0
	NIDA	6	2.9	2.9	91.9
	NIEHS	4	1.9	1.9	93.8
	NIGMS	1	.5	.5	94.3
	NIMH	7	3.3	3.3	97.6
	NINDS	2	1.0	1.0	98.6
	NCCAM	3	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total		209	100.0	100.0

Scientific Review Administrators

B1a. Knowledge of modular grants: No routine escalation for future years

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	150	71.8	73.2	73.2
	Not Clear	28	13.4	13.7	86.8
	Never Heard About	27	12.9	13.2	100.0
	Total	205	98.1	100.0	
Missing	System	4	1.9		
Total		209	100.0		

B1b. Knowledge of modular grants: May request additional modules to cover unusual cost fluctuation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	162	77.5	78.3	78.3
	Not Clear	21	10.0	10.1	88.4
	Never Heard About	24	11.5	11.6	100.0
	Total	207	99.0	100.0	
Missing	System	2	1.0		
Total		209	100.0		

B1c. Knowledge of modular grants: Additional narrative budget justification needed for variation in number of modules requested

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	151	72.2	73.3	73.3
	Not Clear	34	16.3	16.5	89.8
	Never Heard About	21	10.0	10.2	100.0
	Total	206	98.6	100.0	
Missing	System	3	1.4		
Total		209	100.0		

B1d. Knowledge of modular grants: No Other Support form in modular grant application

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	138	66.0	67.6	67.6
	Not Clear	48	23.0	23.5	91.2
	Never Heard About	18	8.6	8.8	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

B1e. Knowledge of modular grants: Narrative budget justification needed only for personnel, consortium/contractual arrangements

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	159	76.1	78.3	78.3
	Not Clear	32	15.3	15.8	94.1
	Never Heard About	12	5.7	5.9	100.0
	Total	203	97.1	100.0	
Missing	System	6	2.9		
Total		209	100.0		

B1f. Knowledge of modular grants: Individual salary information is not required for personnel

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	189	90.4	91.7	91.7
	Not Clear	14	6.7	6.8	98.5
	Never Heard About	3	1.4	1.5	100.0
	Total	206	98.6	100.0	
Missing	System	3	1.4		
Total		209	100.0		

B1g. Knowledge of modular grants: Total cost of consortium/contractual arrangement is included in requested modular direct cost total

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	166	79.4	81.0	81.0
	Not Clear	34	16.3	16.6	97.6
	Never Heard About	5	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	205	98.1	100.0	
Missing	System	4	1.9		
Total		209	100.0		

B1h. Knowledge of modular grants: Biographical sketches need to be prepared for all key personnel

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	200	95.7	98.0	98.0
	Not Clear	3	1.4	1.5	99.5
	Never Heard About	1	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

B1i. Knowledge of modular grants: Biographical sketches should include goals of current/completed research projects

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	163	78.0	79.5	79.5
	Not Clear	30	14.4	14.6	94.1
	Never Heard About	12	5.7	5.9	100.0
	Total	205	98.1	100.0	
Missing	System	4	1.9		
Total		209	100.0		

B1j. Knowledge of modular grants: All forms for modular grant applications are available on the NIH website

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	189	90.4	92.2	92.2
	Not Clear	13	6.2	6.3	98.5
	Never Heard About	3	1.4	1.5	100.0
	Total	205	98.1	100.0	
Missing	System	4	1.9		
Total		209	100.0		

B1k. Knowledge of modular grants: Some form pages are different for a modular grant application

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	175	83.7	85.8	85.8
	Not Clear	22	10.5	10.8	96.6
	Never Heard About	7	3.3	3.4	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

B2a. Knowledge of peer review process: Peer reviewers can recommend modules be cut

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	197	94.3	96.6	96.6
	Not Clear	2	1.0	1.0	97.5
	Never Heard About	5	2.4	2.5	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

B2b. Knowledge of peer review process: Peer reviewers should not recommend specific percentages be cut

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	159	76.1	77.9	77.9
	Not Clear	30	14.4	14.7	92.6
	Never Heard About	15	7.2	7.4	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

B2c. Knowledge of peer review process: Peer reviewers should describe recommendations in budget section without an amount when recommending changes without determined cost reduction

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	155	74.2	76.4	76.4
	Not Clear	34	16.3	16.7	93.1
	Never Heard About	14	6.7	6.9	100.0
	Total	203	97.1	100.0	
Missing	System	6	2.9		
Total		209	100.0		

B2d. Knowledge of peer review process: IC staff will request specific budget info from PI if PR recommends changes without corresponding dollar amounts

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	140	67.0	69.3	69.3
	Not Clear	40	19.1	19.8	89.1
	Never Heard About	22	10.5	10.9	100.0
	Total	202	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	7	3.3		
Total		209	100.0		

B2e. Knowledge of peer review process: IC staff can make additional budget reductions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	165	78.9	81.3	81.3
	Not Clear	29	13.9	14.3	95.6
	Never Heard About	9	4.3	4.4	100.0
	Total	203	97.1	100.0	
Missing	System	6	2.9		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

B2f. Knowledge of peer review process: PG staff never receive a detail budget

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clear	70	33.5	34.7	34.7
	Not Clear	81	38.8	40.1	74.8
	Never Heard About	51	24.4	25.2	100.0
	Total	202	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	7	3.3		
Total		209	100.0		

B3a. Heard of specific goals: Reduce administrative burden for SRAs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	153	73.2	75.0	75.0
	No	51	24.4	25.0	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

B3b. Heard of specific goals: Focus efforts of SRAs on scientific content of the grant application

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	172	82.3	84.7	84.7
	No	31	14.8	15.3	100.0
	Total	203	97.1	100.0	
Missing	System	6	2.9		
Total		209	100.0		

B3c. Heard of specific goals: Reinforce grant-in-aid philosophy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	119	56.9	58.6	58.6
	No	84	40.2	41.4	100.0
	Total	203	97.1	100.0	
Missing	System	6	2.9		
Total		209	100.0		

B3d. Heard of specific goals: Accommodate PIs need for flexibility

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	158	75.6	77.5	77.5
	No	46	22.0	22.5	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

B3e. Heard of specific goals: Eliminate budgetary negotiations between PIs and NIH program staff

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	96	45.9	47.1	47.1
	No	108	51.7	52.9	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

B4a. Achieved specific goals: Reduce administrative burden for SRAs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at all	22	10.5	12.0	12.0
	To some extent	88	42.1	48.1	60.1
	To a large extent	73	34.9	39.9	100.0
	Total	183	87.6	100.0	
Missing	DK	21	10.0		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	26	12.4		
Total		209	100.0		

B4b. Achieved specific goals: Focus efforts of SRAs on scientific content of the grant application

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at all	37	17.7	19.3	19.3
	To some extent	70	33.5	36.5	55.7
	To a large extent	85	40.7	44.3	100.0
	Total	192	91.9	100.0	
Missing	DK	11	5.3		
	System	6	2.9		
	Total	17	8.1		
Total		209	100.0		

B4c. Achieved specific goals: Reinforce grant-in-aid philosophy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at all	36	17.2	26.5	26.5
	To some extent	49	23.4	36.0	62.5
	To a large extent	51	24.4	37.5	100.0
	Total	136	65.1	100.0	
Missing	DK	67	32.1		
	System	6	2.9		
	Total	73	34.9		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

B4d. Achieved specific goals: Accommodate PIs need for flexibility

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at all	10	4.8	6.5	6.5
	To some extent	57	27.3	36.8	43.2
	To a large extent	88	42.1	56.8	100.0
	Total	155	74.2	100.0	
Missing	DK	49	23.4		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	54	25.8		
Total		209	100.0		

B4e. Achieved specific goals: Eliminate budgetary negotiations between PIs and NIH program staff

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at all	12	5.7	13.5	13.5
	To some extent	38	18.2	42.7	56.2
	To a large extent	39	18.7	43.8	100.0
	Total	89	42.6	100.0	
Missing	DK	115	55.0		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	120	57.4		
Total		209	100.0		

B5. Do you think there are other reasons for the implementation of the modular grant application process?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	66	31.6	35.9	35.9
	No	118	56.5	64.1	100.0
	Total	184	88.0	100.0	
Missing	System	25	12.0		
Total		209	100.0		

B6. Have you ever obtained information on the modular grant application process?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	175	83.7	85.4	85.4
	No	30	14.4	14.6	100.0
	Total	205	98.1	100.0	
Missing	System	4	1.9		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

B7a. Obtained information from NIH web site, NIH Guide for Grants and Contracts

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	148	70.8	100.0	100.0
Missing System	61	29.2		
Total	209	100.0		

B7b. Obtained information from IRG Chief and or staff training

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	117	56.0	100.0	100.0
Missing System	92	44.0		
Total	209	100.0		

B7c. Obtained information from NIH training

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	89	42.6	100.0	100.0
Missing System	120	57.4		
Total	209	100.0		

B7d. Obtained information from Other SRA at NIH

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	88	42.1	100.0	100.0
Missing System	121	57.9		
Total	209	100.0		

B7e. Obtained information from NIH Office of Extramural Research staff

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	27	12.9	100.0	100.0
Missing System	182	87.1		
Total	209	100.0		

B7f. Obtained information from NIH program staff

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	17	8.1	100.0	100.0
Missing System	192	91.9		
Total	209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

B7g. Obtained information from NIH grants management staff

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	16	7.7	100.0	100.0
Missing System	193	92.3		
Total	209	100.0		

B7h. Obtained information from other source

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	7	3.3	100.0	100.0
Missing System	202	96.7		
Total	209	100.0		

C1. Experience with modular grants: Has not affected my job as an SRA

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Agree	30	14.4	15.7	15.7
Agree	49	23.4	25.7	41.4
Neither	40	19.1	20.9	62.3
Disagree	51	24.4	26.7	89.0
Strongly Disagree	21	10.0	11.0	100.0
Total	191	91.4	100.0	
Missing DK	13	6.2		
System	5	2.4		
Total	18	8.6		
Total	209	100.0		

C1 Collapsed - Has not affected job as SRA

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Agree	79	37.8	41.4	41.4
Neutral	40	19.1	20.9	62.3
Disagree	72	34.4	37.7	100.0
Total	191	91.4	100.0	
Missing System	18	8.6		
Total	209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

C2. Experience with modular grants: Lack of detailed budget helps focus on scientific content of the applications

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	39	18.7	20.0	20.0
	Agree	63	30.1	32.3	52.3
	Neither	44	21.1	22.6	74.9
	Disagree	32	15.3	16.4	91.3
	Strongly Disagree	17	8.1	8.7	100.0
	Total	195	93.3	100.0	
Missing	DK	8	3.8		
	System	6	2.9		
	Total	14	6.7		
Total		209	100.0		

C2 Collapsed - Lack of budget helps focus on scientific content

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	102	48.8	52.3	52.3
	Neutral	44	21.1	22.6	74.9
	Disagree	49	23.4	25.1	100.0
	Total	195	93.3	100.0	
Missing	System	14	6.7		
Total		209	100.0		

C3. Experience with modular grants: Negatively affected working relationship between me and the peer reviewers

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	12	5.7	6.2	6.2
	Neither	43	20.6	22.3	28.5
	Disagree	67	32.1	34.7	63.2
	Strongly Disagree	71	34.0	36.8	100.0
	Total	193	92.3	100.0	
Missing	DK	11	5.3		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	16	7.7		
Total		209	100.0		

C3 Collapsed - Negatively affected relationship with PRs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	12	5.7	6.2	6.2
	Neutral	43	20.6	22.3	28.5
	Disagree	138	66.0	71.5	100.0
	Total	193	92.3	100.0	
Missing	System	16	7.7		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

C4. Experience with modular grants: Discussions about budget in my study section are much more limited

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	64	30.6	33.0	33.0
	Agree	92	44.0	47.4	80.4
	Neither	21	10.0	10.8	91.2
	Disagree	13	6.2	6.7	97.9
	Strongly Disagree	4	1.9	2.1	100.0
	Total	194	92.8	100.0	
Missing	DK	10	4.8		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	15	7.2		
Total	209	100.0			

C4 Collapsed - Budget discussions are limited

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	156	74.6	80.4	80.4
	Neutral	21	10.0	10.8	91.2
	Disagree	17	8.1	8.8	100.0
	Total	194	92.8	100.0	
Missing	System	15	7.2		
Total	209	100.0			

C5. Experience with modular grants: Due to Just-in-Time my job is now easier

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	113	54.1	57.7	57.7
	Agree	62	29.7	31.6	89.3
	Neither	11	5.3	5.6	94.9
	Disagree	7	3.3	3.6	98.5
	Strongly Disagree	3	1.4	1.5	100.0
	Total	196	93.8	100.0	
Missing	DK	7	3.3		
	System	6	2.9		
	Total	13	6.2		
Total	209	100.0			

C5 Collapsed - Job is easier due to JIT

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	175	83.7	89.3	89.3
	Neutral	11	5.3	5.6	94.9
	Disagree	10	4.8	5.1	100.0
	Total	196	93.8	100.0	
Missing	System	13	6.2		
Total	209	100.0			

C6. Changes in overall study section meeting time

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Increased significantly	3	1.4	1.6	1.6
	Increased slightly	6	2.9	3.1	4.7
	Remained the same	81	38.8	42.2	46.9
	Decreased slightly	89	42.6	46.4	93.2
	Decreased significantly	13	6.2	6.8	100.0
	Total	192	91.9	100.0	
Missing	System	17	8.1		
Total		209	100.0		

C6 Collapsed - Changes in overall study section meeting time

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Increased	9	4.3	4.7	4.7
	Same	81	38.8	42.2	46.9
	Decreased	102	48.8	53.1	100.0
	Total	192	91.9	100.0	
Missing	System	17	8.1		
Total		209	100.0		

C7. Compare nonmodular grant study section meeting times to modular grants

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Much more time	5	2.4	2.5	2.5
	Somewhat more time	18	8.6	9.0	11.4
	About the same	35	16.7	17.4	28.9
	Somewhat less time	83	39.7	41.3	70.1
	Much less time	60	28.7	29.9	100.0
	Total	201	96.2	100.0	
Missing	System	8	3.8		
Total		209	100.0		

C7 Collapsed - Compare meeting times

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	More time	23	11.0	11.4	11.4
	About the same	35	16.7	17.4	28.9
	Less time	143	68.4	71.1	100.0
	Total	201	96.2	100.0	
Missing	System	8	3.8		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

C8. Changes in responsibilities as an SRA

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Increased significantly	3	1.4	1.5	1.5
	Increased slightly	2	1.0	1.0	2.5
	Remained the same	136	65.1	68.7	71.2
	Decreased slightly	51	24.4	25.8	97.0
	Decreased significantly	6	2.9	3.0	100.0
	Total	198	94.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	5.3		
Total		209	100.0		

C8 Collapsed - Changes in responsibilities as an SRA

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Increased	5	2.4	2.5	2.5
	Same	136	65.1	68.7	71.2
	Decreased	57	27.3	28.8	100.0
	Total	198	94.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	5.3		
Total		209	100.0		

D1. Opinion of modular grants: Reviewers can assess scientific merit without a detailed budget

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	71	34.0	35.0	35.0
	Agree	90	43.1	44.3	79.3
	Neither	19	9.1	9.4	88.7
	Disagree	17	8.1	8.4	97.0
	Strongly Disagree	6	2.9	3.0	100.0
	Total	203	97.1	100.0	
Missing	DK	1	.5		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	6	2.9		
Total		209	100.0		

D1 Collapsed - Reviewers can assess scientific merit without a detailed budget

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	161	77.0	79.3	79.3
	Neutral	19	9.1	9.4	88.7
	Disagree	23	11.0	11.3	100.0
	Total	203	97.1	100.0	
Missing	System	6	2.9		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

D2. Opinion of modular grants: Reviewers can assess scientific merit without Other Support pages

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	32	15.3	16.0	16.0
	Agree	83	39.7	41.5	57.5
	Neither	30	14.4	15.0	72.5
	Disagree	45	21.5	22.5	95.0
	Strongly Disagree	10	4.8	5.0	100.0
	Total	200	95.7	100.0	
Missing	DK	3	1.4		
	System	6	2.9		
	Total	9	4.3		
Total		209	100.0		

D2 Collapsed - Reviewers can assess scientific merit without Other Support pages

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	115	55.0	57.5	57.5
	Neutral	30	14.4	15.0	72.5
	Disagree	55	26.3	27.5	100.0
	Total	200	95.7	100.0	
Missing	System	9	4.3		
Total		209	100.0		

D3. Opinion of modular grants: Reviewers think making recommendations to cut is not important as full amount will be awarded anyway

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	2	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Agree	16	7.7	8.2	9.2
	Neither	29	13.9	14.9	24.1
	Disagree	93	44.5	47.7	71.8
	Strongly Disagree	55	26.3	28.2	100.0
	Total	195	93.3	100.0	
Missing	DK	9	4.3		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	14	6.7		
Total		209	100.0		

D3 Collapsed - Reviewers think making recommendations to cut is not important

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	18	8.6	9.2	9.2
	Neutral	29	13.9	14.9	24.1
	Disagree	148	70.8	75.9	100.0
	Total	195	93.3	100.0	
Missing	System	14	6.7		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

D4. Opinion of modular grants: Reviewers need to see a detailed budget to understand the proposed research project

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	12	5.7	5.9	5.9
	Agree	40	19.1	19.8	25.7
	Neither	39	18.7	19.3	45.0
	Disagree	78	37.3	38.6	83.7
	Strongly Disagree	33	15.8	16.3	100.0
	Total	202	96.7	100.0	
Missing	DK	2	1.0		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	7	3.3		
Total		209	100.0		

D4 Collapsed - Reviewers need to see a detailed budget to understand the proposed research project

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	52	24.9	25.7	25.7
	Neutral	39	18.7	19.3	45.0
	Disagree	111	53.1	55.0	100.0
	Total	202	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	7	3.3		
Total		209	100.0		

D5. Opinion of modular grants: Lack of detailed budget helps reviewers focus on the scientific content

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	20	9.6	10.0	10.0
	Agree	75	35.9	37.3	47.3
	Neither	57	27.3	28.4	75.6
	Disagree	38	18.2	18.9	94.5
	Strongly Disagree	11	5.3	5.5	100.0
	Total	201	96.2	100.0	
Missing	DK	2	1.0		
	System	6	2.9		
	Total	8	3.8		
Total		209	100.0		

D5 Collapsed - Lack of detailed budget helps reviewers focus on the scientific content

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	95	45.5	47.3	47.3
	Neutral	57	27.3	28.4	75.6
	Disagree	49	23.4	24.4	100.0
	Total	201	96.2	100.0	
Missing	System	8	3.8		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

D6. Opinion of modular grants: Reviewers generally know how much proposed research project should cost

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	25	12.0	12.3	12.3
	Agree	137	65.6	67.2	79.4
	Neither	28	13.4	13.7	93.1
	Disagree	12	5.7	5.9	99.0
	Strongly Disagree	2	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

D6 Collapsed - Reviewers generally know how much proposed research project should cost

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	162	77.5	79.4	79.4
	Neutral	28	13.4	13.7	93.1
	Disagree	14	6.7	6.9	100.0
	Total	204	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	5	2.4		
Total		209	100.0		

D7. Opinion of modular grants: New reviewers should receive training on how to determine whether proposed costs are reasonable

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	17	8.1	8.6	8.6
	Agree	73	34.9	36.9	45.5
	Neither	52	24.9	26.3	71.7
	Disagree	42	20.1	21.2	92.9
	Strongly Disagree	14	6.7	7.1	100.0
	Total	198	94.7	100.0	
Missing	DK	6	2.9		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	11	5.3		
Total		209	100.0		

D7 Collapsed - New reviewers should receive training on determining reasonable costs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	90	43.1	45.5	45.5
	Neutral	52	24.9	26.3	71.7
	Disagree	56	26.8	28.3	100.0
	Total	198	94.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	5.3		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

D8. Opinion of modular grants: Reviewers are not comfortable recommending budget cuts without being able to see detailed budget

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	37	17.7	18.5	18.5
	Agree	68	32.5	34.0	52.5
	Neither	24	11.5	12.0	64.5
	Disagree	60	28.7	30.0	94.5
	Strongly Disagree	11	5.3	5.5	100.0
	Total	200	95.7	100.0	
Missing	DK	4	1.9		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	9	4.3		
Total		209	100.0		

D8 Collapsed - Reviewers are not comfortable recommending budget cuts without seeing detailed budget

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	105	50.2	52.5	52.5
	Neutral	24	11.5	12.0	64.5
	Disagree	71	34.0	35.5	100.0
	Total	200	95.7	100.0	
Missing	System	9	4.3		
Total		209	100.0		

D9. Opinion of modular grants: Listing dollar value totals for major categories would be a good compromise

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	44	21.1	22.3	22.3
	Agree	79	37.8	40.1	62.4
	Neither	42	20.1	21.3	83.8
	Disagree	29	13.9	14.7	98.5
	Strongly Disagree	3	1.4	1.5	100.0
	Total	197	94.3	100.0	
Missing	DK	7	3.3		
	System	5	2.4		
	Total	12	5.7		
Total		209	100.0		

D9 Collapsed - Listing dollar value totals for major categories would be a good compromise

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	123	58.9	62.4	62.4
	Neutral	42	20.1	21.3	83.8
	Disagree	32	15.3	16.2	100.0
	Total	197	94.3	100.0	
Missing	System	12	5.7		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

D10a. Changes in the average amount of requested funding

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Increased	91	43.5	48.1	48.1
	Stayed the same	91	43.5	48.1	96.3
	Decreased	7	3.3	3.7	100.0
	Total	189	90.4	100.0	
Missing	System	20	9.6		
Total		209	100.0		

D10b. Changes in the average size of awards

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Increased	79	37.8	42.9	42.9
	Stayed the same	98	46.9	53.3	96.2
	Decreased	7	3.3	3.8	100.0
	Total	184	88.0	100.0	
Missing	System	25	12.0		
Total		209	100.0		

E1. Overall satisfaction with the modular grant application process

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Satisfied	44	21.1	22.3	22.3
	Satisfied	68	32.5	34.5	56.9
	Neither	61	29.2	31.0	87.8
	Dissatisfied	21	10.0	10.7	98.5
	Very Dissatisfied	3	1.4	1.5	100.0
	Total	197	94.3	100.0	
Missing	System	12	5.7		
Total		209	100.0		

E1 Collapsed - Overall satisfaction with the modular grant application process

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Satisfied	112	53.6	56.9	56.9
	Neutral	61	29.2	31.0	87.8
	Dissatisfied	24	11.5	12.2	100.0
	Total	197	94.3	100.0	
Missing	System	12	5.7		
Total		209	100.0		

Scientific Review Administrators

E2. Would you prefer the modular grant limit be higher than \$250,000?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	33	15.8	28.2	28.2
	No	84	40.2	71.8	100.0
	Total	117	56.0	100.0	
Missing	DK	74	35.4		
	System	18	8.6		
	Total	92	44.0		
Total		209	100.0		